1	DAVID CHIU, State Bar #189542		
-	City Attorney		
2	WAYNE K. SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137		
	JAMES M. EMERY, State Bar #153630		
3	KATE G. KIMBERLIN, State Bar #261017		
	Deputy City Attorneys		
4	City Hall, Room 234		
_	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place		
5	San Francisco, California 94102-5408		
	Telephone: (415) 554-4628 (Emery)		
6	(415) 554-3847 (Kimberlin)		
٦	Facsimile: (415) 554-4699		
7	E-Mail: jim.emery@sfcityatty.org		
	kate.kimberlin@sfcityatty.org		
8	Attorneys for Defendants		
9	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO;		
9	LONDON BREED, Mayor of San Francisco in he	er official canacity: and	1
10	CAROL ISEN, Human Resources Director, City	and County	•
10	of San Francisco, in her official capacity	and county	
11			
12			
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13			
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14			
	GELDIA KEENE MELODY BOLDWEILA	LG N 00 0150	7 1037
15	SELINA KEENE, MELODY FOUNTILA,	Case No. 22-cv-0158	5/-JSW
1.	MARK MCCLURE,	DECLADATION O	EKATEC KIMDEDI ININ
16	Dlaintiffa		F KATE G. KIMBERLIN IN
17	Plaintiffs,		'ENDANTS' OPPOSITION PLICATION AND TO
17	vs.		OF NOTICE FOR MOTION
18	vs.		R ON PLAINTIFFS'
10	CITY and COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO;		ELIMINARY INJUNCTION
19	LONDON BREED, Mayor of San Francisco in	MOTIONIONIN	
17	her official capacity; CAROL ISEN, Human	Hearing Date:	September 23, 2022
20	Resources Director, City and County of San	Time:	9:00 a.m.
_	Francisco, in her official capacity; DOES 1-	Judge:	Hon. Jeffrey S. White
21	100,	Location:	Oakland Courthouse,
			Courtroom 5, 2nd Floor
22	Defendants.		
		Date Action Filed:	March 14, 2022
23		Trial Date:	None set
24			
ا ء ا			
25			
26			
26			

27

28

- 1. I am a member of the bar of the state of California and counsel of record for the City and County of San Francisco ("City"). I submit this declaration to support of the City's Opposition to Ex Parte Application and to Shorten Time of Notice for Motion to Enter Order on Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction. If called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to the matters set forth herein.
- 2. On September 12, 2022, Plaintiffs' attorney, Russell Davis, emailed me and my colleague, Jim Emery, stating that he would be filing an ex parte application. The September 12 email did not offer a date for any proposed hearing on the motion, nor did it include a copy of the proposed motion.
- 3. On September 13, 2022, I received a copy of the Plaintiffs' ex parte motion when it was electronically filed. I learned at that time that Plaintiffs had noticed their ex parte motion for September 23, 2022.
- 4. On September 14, 2022, I emailed Mr. Davis to inform him that neither Mr. Emery nor I would be available on September 23, 2022 due to previously scheduled vacations. Mr. Emery and I are the only counsel of record for the City in this case.
- 5. In my September 14, 2022 correspondence, I asked whether Plaintiffs would re-notice the motion for a mutually agreeable date. Plaintiffs did not subsequently seek to re-notice the motion or work with counsel for the City to find an alternate date.
- 6. Neither Mr. Emery nor I are available to attend a hearing on September 23, and the City therefore does not plan to appear at the hearing.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed September 21, 2022in San Francisco, California.

KATE G. KIMBERLIN